

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/643,319	08/19/2003	Michael D. Ruff	019031-000010 3826		
7590 04/19/2006			EXAMINER		
JENNIFER L. SKORD			OH, SIMON J		
MOORE & VA SUITE 800	N ALLEN	ART UNIT PAPER NU			
2200 WEST M		1618			
DURHAM, NC 27705			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Commence		Applicati	plication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/643,3	19	RUFF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit				
		Simon J.		1618				
The MAILII Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication a	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHICHEVER IS I - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply i - Failure to reply within the control of the contro	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI LONGER, FROM THE MAILING y be available under the provisions of 37 CFR i from the mailing date of this communication. s specified above, the maximum statutory period the set or extended period for reply will, by state the Office later than three months after the mailing justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TI 1.136(a). In no ev od will apply and v tute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION rent, however, may a reply be time till expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE). lely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 17	lanuary 200)6					
2a) ☐ This action								
<u>'=</u>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claim	·	. — приности	,	0.0.2.0.				
<u> </u>								
	Claim(s) <u>43-53 and 55</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>43-53 and 55</u> is/are rejected.							
	— · · · — ·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S	5.C. § 119							
a) All b) 1. Certification Certification Copies Ceptification Copies Ceptification Certification Cer	ment is made of a claim for foreith Some * c) None of: ied copies of the priority docume ied copies of the priority docume is of the certified copies of the priority docume is of the certified copies of the priority docume is at the certified copies of the priority document in the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document in the certified copies of the certified copies o	ents have bee ents have bee riority docum eau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	98)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	P-152)			

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Papers Received

Receipt is acknowledged of the applicant's amendment and response, both received on 17 January 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 43-53 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norling et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,958,458)

The Norling *et al.* patent teaches a pharmaceutical multiple unit formulation in the form of coated cores. The core material is selected from various materials that include calcium carbonate, calcium silicate, calcium magnesium silicate, calcium phosphate, and kaolin (See Abstract). Various substances may be applied as coatings to the cores, including waxes, hydrogenated oils, and glyceryl monostearate. The coating material may be admixed with excipients that include colloidal silicon dioxide, talc, and magnesium stearate. The coating material may further comprise plasticizers, such as castor oil, mineral oil, and coconut oil (See Column 9, Line 41 to Column 10, Line 57). Film coatings comprising polymers such as ethylcellulose may be included in the disclosed composition (See Column 9, Lines 43-50). The

disclosed composition may be embodied in various formulations, including powders, granules, tablets, as well as liquid formulations (See Column 13, Lines 29-36). The cores may also comprise an active substance, which may be coated onto the surface of the cores (See Column 11, Lines 57-67). The active substance may be selected from various broad categories of agents, including insulin (See Column 7, Lines 60-67). The patent discloses examples where inert cores are prepared and where varying amounts of various coating materials are applied to these cores (See Example 1A to Example 10).

It is the position of the examiner that the instantly claimed invention is made obvious by the disclosure of the prior art. With regard to the selection of the particular type of calcium phosphate used in Claim 55, it is the position of the examiner that as the prior art has broadly disclosed the use of calcium phosphate, that particular claim limitation does not lend patentability unto the instantly claimed invention without a showing of unexpected results by one of ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, the prior art disclosure of the core material being coated with various excipients such as hydrogenated oils and magnesium stearate, it is the position of the examiner that this disclosure reads on what the applicant was chosen to define as a permeation enhancer. Thus, the instantly claimed invention is prima facie obvious.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Simon J. Oh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0599. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,319 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Simon J. Oh Examiner Art Unit 1618

sjo

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER